

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration of the above referenced application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 4-16, 20, 21, and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al. (hereinafter "Edwards").

Regarding Claim 1, the independent claim as currently amended differentiates over the prior art Edwards through demonstration that the connectivity device includes a data module that is adapted to receive and store visual presentation data. In addition, the second interface as claimed is adapted to drive the visual presentation data to a physically remote display. In contrast, Edwards only teaches displaying a visual presentation when transferring data in real-time with no possibility of storing the data in a data module for viewing at a later time or driving the visual presentation data. Therefore, independent Claim 1 is believed to be in condition of allowance, and a notice to this effect is respectfully requested.

Claim 12 stands objected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claims 12, 13, 14, 15 and 20 have been amended, and we believe this objection has been overcome. Accordingly, independent Claim 1 and dependent Claim 12 are believed to be in proper format, and a notice to this effect is respectfully requested.

Regarding Claim 5, to make the definition of smartphone clear for the record, and as used in the application, the term "smartphone," as is understood to one with ordinary skill in the art, is any electronic handheld device that integrates the functionality of a mobile phone, Personal Digital Assistant (PDA), or other information appliance. Accordingly, dependent Claim 5 is believed to be in condition of allowance.

Regarding Claim 25, dependent Claim 25 now properly depends from Claim 1. Accordingly, dependent Claim 25 is believed to be in condition of allowance and a notice to this

effect is respectfully requested.

Claims 2 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al., in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040088452 A1 to Scott.

Claims 18 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,493,745 to Cherian.

Claim 20 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,493,745 to Tsakiris.

Claim 23 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,671,737 to Snowden et al. (hereinafter "Snowdon").

Applicant submits independent Claim 1 is allowable over the cited prior art. Remaining dependent Claims 2-20, 22, 23, and 25 depend from independent Claim 1, and are thus also in condition of allowance as well, and a notice to this effect is respectfully requested.


CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks and for various other reasons readily apparent, Applicant submits that pending claims are allowable, and a Notice of Allowance are courteously solicited.

If any other matters remain, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney to resolve these matters by Examiner's amendment.

A One Month Extension of Time is enclosed along with the requisite fee. If any applicable fee or refund has been overlooked, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee or credit any refund to the deposit account of Jackson Walker L.L.P., No. 10-0096.

Respectfully Submitted,



Robert C. Klinger
Reg. No. 34,365
Attorney for Applicant

Jackson Walker L.L.P.
901 Main Street, Suite 6000
Dallas, Texas 75202
(214) 953-5978 Direct Dial
(214) 661-6873 Direct Fax